

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,895	03/04/2004	Christian Keller	7346	5189	
39196 SHI ESINGER	7590 03/05/200 R. ARKWRIGHT & GA	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1420 KING STREET			JACKSON, BRANDON LEE		
SUITE 600 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	3772			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/791,895	KELLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRANDON JACKSON	3772	

	BRANDON JACKSON	3772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which appeal feel in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, in rent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, after the result of the final rejection, after the result of the result of the final rejection.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(20(a) and the annualist	a automolom foo				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
ARTIDATION OF CHER SYMENCE. 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. \(\subseteq \text{ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\subseteq \text{See Continuation Sheet} \)							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Patricia Bianco/	(December 1991)						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772	/Brandon Jackson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the Sheridan device is not "flippable" because of the angle of the proximal end. (b) because of the angle of the proximal end. (b) where Applicant has provided no support in the Sheridan reference where it says the device may only be inserted proximal end first because of the angle of the end. Further, Applicant argues the flat proximal end (6) may not be inserted first because it would cause in jury to the patient. However, it is well known in the art the medico-surgical tubes may have two flat ends, much like in US Patient 7,145,766 in Column 4. Lines 21-26 which teaches that flat end (21) and andige end (21A) are interchangeable. Applicant argues that the Sheridan device is also not "flippable" because then the depth marking would backwards and it would make the devie more difficualt to use. However, difficulty of use does not preclude a device from reading on a device claim where it discloses all the parts. Morevover, many tubes in the art do not have depth markers and they still function properly. Lastly, Applicant argues that the Shore hardness is not a mere design choice and support can be found in Applicant's specification on Page 7, Lines 10-25. However, that passage merely states the configuration of the hardness and not what makes it essential to the functionality of the device.